BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

IN THE MATTER OF:

HARRY GALE, D.O.
Holder of License No. 2772

For the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona

Case No.: 3601M

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW AND ORDER

On or about September 2 and 15, 2005, the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners (hereafter "Board") received information from MICA and plaintiff's counsel in Maricopa Superior Court case No. CV2004-0954 pursuant to Arizona statutes regarding a medical malpractice complaint of patient "DC" alleging possible violations of the Board's statute of unprofessional conduct.

On or about September 23, 2005, the Board open complaint No. 3601M and notified Harry Gale, D.O. (hereafter known as Respondent') on October 12, 2005 of this complaint and requested a response to the allegations contained therein.

On or about October 23, 2005, the Respondent provided a response to the Board and included a copy of patient DC's records.

On December 9, 2006, the Board, after receiving all of the documentation, conducted its initial review of this matter and voted to refer it to an Investigative Hearing.

On January 20, 2007, the Board invited the Respondent to an investigative hearing. The Respondent appeared for the proceeding. After hearing Respondent's testimony and considering the documents and evidence submitted, the Board voted to enter the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and Order of Censure and Probation based upon that information.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENTS

- 1. The Board is empowered, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1800 et seq., to regulate the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, and the conduct of the persons licensed, registered, or permitted to practice osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 2772 issued by the Board for the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On September 11, 2002, Respondent saw patient DC for back pain. Patient DC had earlier visited urgent care with similar complaints and indicated that he had been remodeling his home. Patient DC also indicated occasional shooting pains from his neck to both arms. Respondent's assessment was "overuse syndrome" and ordered lumbar spine x-rays and tests to rule out heart problems. The test results were essentially negative.
- 2. Patient DC returned to Respondent on September 23, 2002 with continued complaints of back pain along with pain in the left chest area as well as "lancinating" pain into his extremities. Respondent continued his course of treatment and ordered a cervical spine x-ray along with a prescription for mild painkillers. Additional painkillers were prescribed after Respondent received a telephone call from Patient DC's wife on September 26, 2002.
- 3. Patient DC returned to Respondent on September 30, 2002. His complaint now included radiating neck pain, generalized numbness in his arms and legs, tingling in his fingertips and hands and an unsteady gait. Respondent diagnosed Patient DC with a cervical impairment which was compressing the spinal cord.
- 4. Respondent ordered a stat MRI and sent Patient DC to Yavapai Regional Medical Center, telling him to keep his head straight and to request a cervical collar upon arrival at the hospital. The cervical disc rupture and subsequent spinal cord compression along with subsequent events resulted in Patient DC becoming a paraplegic.

- 5. Upon questioning by the Board as to the lack of a neurological examination during the visits on September 11 and 23, Respondent stated, and continued to believe, that a neurological examination was not necessary in light of the symptoms he observed at that time.
- 6. The Board determined that based on its review of the information received and the testimony of Respondent that his actions or lack thereof indicated an inappropriate diagnosis, examination and care of patient DC, and that action should be taken against Respondent's license. Respondent also appeared to continue to show a lack of knowledge in this area.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 7. The conduct described in Findings of Fact 1 through 6 herein constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by the following A.R.S. § 32-1854 subsections:
 - (6) Engaging in the practice of medicine in a manner that harms or may harm a patient or that the Board determines falls below the community standard.
 - (38) Any conduct or practice that endangers a patient's or the public's health or may reasonably be expected to do so.

ORDER

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Board,

- 1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Harry Gale, D.O. ("Respondent") Holder of Osteopathic medical license number 2772, is issued a DECREE OF CENSURE pursuant to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-1855 (E)(4).
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be placed on PROBATION for a period of Six (6) months from the date of this order pursuant to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-1855 (E)(5).
 - 3. Probation shall include the following terms:
 - a. Respondent, at his own expense, shall undergo an evaluation within 60 days by **Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program** ("PACE") at the University of California, San Diego to determine Respondent's ability to safely practice medicine in the State of Arizona. Specifically, the evaluation needs to include Respondent's ability to appropriately conduct histories and physical evaluations, including neurological examination. Any and all reports, assessments

or other documents generated by PACE shall be forwarded by PACE to the Board for review.

- b. Respondent shall submit an application and fee to the PACE program no later than seven (7) days after the effective date of this Order.
- c. Respondent, at his own expense, shall undergo a neuropsychological assessment and evaluation within 45 days by **Phillip D. Lett, Ph.D.** at Professional Psychology Associates, P.C. 4222 East Camelback Road, Suite 230H, Phoenix, AZ 85018 V: 602-852-0911 F: (602) 852-0632 Email: philliplett@yahoo.com
- d. Respondent shall contact Dr. Lett and/or his office within ten (10) days to schedule this evaluation and assessment. Any and all reports, assessments or other documents generated shall be forwarded to the Board for review.
- 4. Respondent's failure to comply with the requirements of this Order shall constitute unprofessional conduct as defined at A.R.S. § 32-1854(26), as amended, and may be considered as grounds for further disciplinary action (e.g., suspension or revocation of license) in the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the requirements of this Order.

ISSUED THIS 12 DAY OF FEBRUARY 2007.

ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS

By:

Jack Copfer, Executive Director

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REOUEST REVIEW OR REHEARING

You have the right to request a rehearing or review of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09. The request for rehearing or review must be filed with the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners within thirty (30) days. If you request a review or rehearing, you must base your request on at least one of the eight grounds for review or rehearing that are allowed under A.A.C. R4-22-106(D). Failure to file a motion for rehearing or review within 30 days has the effect of prohibiting you from seeking judicial review of the Board's decision in the AZ Courts.

1	Original "Findings of Fact, Conclusions
2	of Law and Order"
_	filed this 1214 day of February, 2007 with the:
3	Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners
4	In Medicine and Surgery 9535 East Doubletree Ranch Road
5	Scottsdale AZ 85258-5539
6	Copy of the foregoing "Findings of Fact,
7	Conclusions of Law and Order" sent by certified mail, return receipt requested
8	this 1274 day of February, 2007 to:
	Harry Gale, D.O.
9	3190 N. Windsong Drive
10	Prescott Valley, AZ 86314
11	Copies of the foregoing "Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order"
12	sent via regular mail/hand delivered this day of February, 2007 to:
13	Blair Driggs, AAG
14	Office of the Attorney General CIV/LES 1275 West Washington
15	Phoenix AZ 85007
16	
17	
18	Nach Later)
19	- Hang cource
20	
21	
22	
23	